1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 110	LEVI & KORSINSKY, LLP ADAM M. APTON (SBN 316506) ADAM C. MCCALL (SBN 302130) 388 Market Street, Suite 1300 San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 373-1671 Facsimile: (212) 363-7171 Attorneys for Lead Plaintiff GLEN LITTLETON and the Class COOLEY LLP STEPHEN C. NEAL (170085) (nealsc@cooley.c PATRICK E. GIBBS (183174) (pgibbs@cooley.3175 Hanover Street Palo Alto, California 94304-1130 Telephone: +1 650 843 5000 Facsimile: +1 650 849 7400		
11 12 13	Attorneys for Defendants TESLA, INC., ELON MUSK, BRAD W. BUSS, ROBYN DENHOLM, IRA EHRENPREIS, ANTONIO J. GRACIAS, JAMES MURDOCH, KIMBAL MUSK, and LINDA JOHNSON RICE		
14			
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
16	NORTHERN DISTRI	CT OF CALIFORNIA	
17	SAN FRANCIS	SCO DIVISION	
18			
19	IN RE TESLA, INC. SECURITIES	Case No. 3:18-cv-04865-EMC	
20	LITIGATION	STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR ISSUANCE	
21		OF LETTERS ROGATORY	
22		MAGISTRATE JUDGE KANDIS A. WESTMORE	
$\begin{bmatrix} 22 \\ 23 \end{bmatrix}$	WHEREAS by Order detect Newsomber		
24	WHEREAS, by Order dated November 27, 2018, the Court appointed Glen Littleton ("Littleton" or "Plaintiff") as lead plaintiff in this action (Dkt. No. 152). WHEREAS, on January 16, 2019, Littleton filed a Consolidated Class Action Complain against Elon Musk, Tesla, Inc., Brad W. Buss, Robyn Denholm, Ira Ehrenpreis, Antonio J. Gracias James Murdoch, Kimbal Musk, and Linda Johnson Rice (Dkt. No. 184).		
25			
26			
27			
$\begin{bmatrix} 27 \\ 28 \end{bmatrix}$			
_			

STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR ISSUANCE OF LETTERS ROGATORY CASE NO. 18-CV-04865-EMC

1	WHEREAS, Defendants filed a Motion to Dismiss the Consolidated Class Action		
2	Complaint on November 22, 2019 (Dkt. No. 227).		
3	WHEREAS, the Court Denied Defendants' Motion to Dismiss on April 15, 2020 (Dkt. No.		
4	251).		
5	WHEREAS, on November 25, 2020, the Court entered an Order granting the parties'		
6	stipulation for Class Certification (Dkt. No. 298).		
7	WHEREAS, the factual allegations of the Consolidated Class Action Complaint includ		
8	allegations regarding a meeting between certain Defendants and representatives of Saudi Arabia'		
9	sovereign wealth fund, the Public Investment Fund.		
10	WHEREAS, Plaintiff now seeks testimony from H.E. Yasir Al-Rumayyan, Saad Al Jarboa,		
11	and Naif Al Mogren of the Public Investment Fund.		
12	WHEREAS, Plaintiff believes that it cannot serve a third-party subpoena on the Public		
13	Investment Fund because it has no U.S. offices of subsidiaries.		
14	WHEREAS, Plaintiff requested that Defendants consent to Plaintiff's motion for the		
15	issuance of letters rogatory, and Defendants consented;		
16	WHEREAS, on January 21, 2020, the Court ordered that, if Defendants consent to the		
17	issuance of letters rogatory, the parties shall submit a stipulation to the issuance of letters rogatory		
18	WHEREAS, Plaintiff respectfully requests, and Defendants do not oppose, that this Court		
19	issue pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1781 and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26(b)(1) and 28(b) the		
20	accompanying letters rogatory to obtain testimony from H.E. Yasir Al-Rumayyan, Saad Al Jarboa,		
21	and Nai Al Mogren of the Kindgom of the Public Investment Fund.		
22	WHEREAS, Plaintiff believes that its requests for evidence are narrowly tailored and will		
23	not impose an undue burden on the Public Investment fund or H.E. Yasir Al-Rumayyan, Saad A		
24	Jarboa, or Nai Al Mogren.		
25	WHEREAS, this Court has authority to issue the letters rogatory.		
26	WHEREAS, Plaintiff and Defendants agree to the terms and conditions set forth in this		
27	stipulation.		

28

1	NOW THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by counsel for	
2	the parties listed below, subject to the approval of the Court, that:	
3	1. The accompanying letters rogatory to obtain testimony from H.E. Yasir Al-	
4	Rumayyan, Saad Al Jarboa, and Nai Al Mogren of the Kindgom of the Public Investment Fund	
5	should be issued by the Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1781 and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure	
6	26(b)(1) and 28(b).	
7		
8		
9	Dated: January 28, 2021 LEVI & KORSINSKY, LLP	
10	By: /s/ Adam M. Apton	
11	Adam M. Apton	
	Adam M. Apton (316506)	
12	Adam C. Mccall (302130)	
13	388 Market Street, Suite 1300	
	San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 373-1671	
14	Facsimile: (212) 363-7171	
15	Email: aapton@zlk.com	
	Email: amccall@zlk.com	
16	Nicholas I. Porritt (pro hac vice)	
17	Alexander A. Krot III (pro hac vice)	
18	1101 30th Street NW, Suite 115	
10	Washington, D.C. 20007	
19	Telephone: (202) 524-4290	
20	Facsimile: (212) 363-7171	
	Email: nporritt@zlk.com Email: akrot@zlk.com	
21	Eman. aktore zik.com	
22	Joseph Levi (pro hac vice)	
23	Eduard Korsinsky (<i>pro hac vice</i>) 55 Broadway, 10th Floor	
24	New York, New York 10006	
	Tel: (212) 363-7500	
25	Fax: (212) 363-7171 Email: jlevi@zlk.com	
26	Email: ek@zlk.com	
27	Attorneys for Lead Plaintiff Glen Littleton and	
	Lead Counsel for the Class	
28		

1	Dated: January 28, 2021 COOLEY LLP	
2		
3	By: <u>/s/ Patrick E. Gibbs</u> Patrick E. Gibbs	
4	Tatrick E. Gibbs	
	Stephen C. Neal (170085) Patrick E. Gibbs (183174)	
5	Samantha A. Kirby (307917)	
6	3175 Hanover Street	
7	Palo Alto, CA 94304-1130 Telephone: (650) 843-5000	
	Facsimile: (650) 849-7400	
8	Email: nealsc@cooley.com	
9	pgibbs@cooley.com	
	skirby@cooley.com	
10	Sarah M. Lightdale (pro hac vice)	
11	Brian M. French (pro hac vice)	
10	Bingxin Wu (pro hac vice)	
12	55 Hudson Yards	
13	New York, NY 10001-2157	
	Telephone: (212) 479-6000	
14	Facsimile: (212) 479-6275	
1.5	Email: slightdale@cooley.com	
15	bfrench@cooley.com	
16	bwu@cooley.com	
17	Attorneys for Defendants Tesla, Inc., Elon Musk,	
1 /	Brad W. Buss, Robyn Denholm, Ira Ehrenpreis,	
18	Antonio J. Gracias, James Murdoch, Kimbal	
19	Musk, and Linda Johnson Rice	
20		
21	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule No. 5-1(i)(3), all signatories concur in filing this Stipulation.	
22	Turbulant to ervir Boear Plane 1 (or o 1 (1)(o)), and origination of the superactions	
23	Dated: January 28, 2021 LEVI & KORSINSKY, LLP	
24	By: /s/ Adam M. Apton	
25	Adam M. Apton	
26		
27		
28		
	STIPULATION AND ORDER FOR ISSUANCE OF	

Case 3:18-cv-04865-EMC Document 311 Filed 01/29/21 Page 5 of 5

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. In light of the parties' stipulation, the Court terminates Plaintiff's motion for issuance of letters rogatory (Dkt. No. 303) as moot. IT IS SO ORDERED Dated: January 29, 2021 Unite s wagistrate J